

FEB 22 2013

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK  
U.S. COURT OF APPEALSJUDICIAL COUNCIL  
OF THE NINTH CIRCUIT

IN RE COMPLAINT OF  JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT
---

Nos. 12-90119 &amp; 12-90120

**ORDER****KOZINSKI**, Chief Judge:

A pro se prisoner alleges that a district judge and a magistrate judge “engaged in criminal misconduct to protect [certain] prosecutors.” But complainant offers no proof of any such conspiracy. He merely disagrees with the judges’ rulings in his civil rights case against the prosecutors. This does not amount to conspiracy; at most it’s an error, which must be corrected by way of an appeal. See In re Complaint of Judicial Misconduct, 631 F.3d 961, 963 (9th Cir. Jud. Council 2011). Moreover, this allegation relates directly to the merits of the judges’ rulings and must therefore be dismissed. See 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(ii); In re Charge of Judicial Misconduct, 685 F.2d 1226, 1227 (9th Cir. Jud. Council 1982); Judicial-Conduct Rule 11(c)(1)(B).

**DISMISSED.**